

(Mill Creek)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MODOC.

George M. Warrens,

)
Plaintiff,

)
vs.
)

J. A. Wimer; W.A.Odbert; Nannie Daniels; Joshua Streiff
and Ida Streiff (his wife); Victor L.Jacobs; and
Georgie Jacobs (his wife); I.V.Tonningson; Mary J.
Jones; W.S.Painter; Thomas Painter; Porter Heard; J.
H.Hutchinson; S.B.Streif; S.B.Streif as Administrator
of Estate of E.P.Streif, Deceased; T.A.Read; Rufus
S.Carter; Horace Hobbs; M.W.Jones; George Weil-
munster; Frances E. Wilson; W. S. Jacobs, F. M. Smith
and L. L. Hays; F. M. Smith; L. L. Hays; J. A. Largent
and Mildred Largent (his wife) M. J. Wimer; O. P. Robin-
son; Emma Painter; Maggie J.Brown; Maggie J. Brown as
Administrator of Estate of Judethan Brown, deceased;
David W. Baty; Miss Ellen Shartell; Mrs.W.P.Polander;
Mrs. A. nnie L. Akens and William C. Akens (her hus-
band); Lloyd G. Wimer; Mrs. Minie Polander; Estate of
Joseph K. Polander, deceased; Clara E. Vaughn; W. E.
Polander; Ollie O. Crampton; E. M. Shartell; Odbert
Berry and Watkins a co-partnership; W. A. Odbert,
manager and owner of said co-partnership; Sam Streig;
Mrs. Wilhelmie M. Streig; John Vernon and Bessie
Vernon (his wife); Marion Stewart; Gerald Wilson;
R.R.Hays; Simon Bennett; W. J. Hays; Mrs. C. H. Darst
and C.H.Darst (husband and wife); John Miller; JOHN
GOE; SAM JOE; PETER POE; JAMES DOE; HENRY MOE
AND JACK ROE.

)
NO. 3024

)
DECREE

)
Defendants.

The above entitled cause having been referred to the Division of Water
Rights (now Division of Water Resources) of the Department of Public Works of the
State of California, as referee, by an order of this Court, dated May 1, 1929,
and made under authority of law as conferred by Section 24 of the Water Commis-
sion Act of the State of California, Statutes of 1913, Chapter 586, as amended,
said referee having made its investigation, the parties hereto having thereafter
entered into a written stipulation and agreement, said stipulation being com-
prised of two identical documents, each of said documents being signed by
different parties and said documents comprising said stipulation being marked
Part 1 and Part 2, respectively, said stipulation providing that said referee
might report to the above entitled Court a decree in accordance with the provi-
sions of said stipulation and that said Court might enter a decree in accordance
with the provisions of said stipulation, said parties having further stipulated
that findings of fact and conclusions of law be waived, said Division, as referee
having filed its report herein, which report embodies said stipulation of the
parties, and said matter being finally submitted to this Court on said report,
including said stipulation, and it appearing that said stipulation is signed